

MINUTES OF THE SEAC MEETING

28

A meeting of the State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC) was held from 16th to 18th January 2013, in the office of the PCCF, Department of Environment & Forests, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar for screening and scoping of the project proposals and appraisal of the EIA and EMP report received from the Project Proponents.

The following members were present in the meeting:

Dr. Trilochan Singh	- Chairman
Shri P.K. Sen, IFS (Rtd.)	- Member
Dr. A. Arunachalam	- Member
Prof A.K. Das	- Member
Shri N. Tam, IFS	- Member Secretary

Dr. Trilochan Singh, Chairman welcomed the members and after the brief introduction requested Mr N. Tam, Member Secretary to brief up about the project proposals. Shri Tam also welcomed the Committee Members and gave a brief account of the project proposals and scope of the Committee.

AGENDA NO. 1

The project proposal submitted by M/s Energy JVKIL Consortium for Ithun-II HEP (48 MW) in Lower Dibang Valley district of Arunachal Pradesh was taken up for scoping.

The Chairman and the members went through the application and debated on various issues of the projects and their *pros* and *cons*. Each of the members gave their opinion on the project proposal and raised a few queries that were considered carefully in the light of the guidelines given by the Ministry of Environment & Forests, Govt. of India.

In response to the clarifications sought on the project under consideration, the representatives of M/S JVKIL Consortium gave power point presentation on various aspects of the project and respective Terms of Reference (TOR) proposed by them.

After detailed deliberations the Committee opined that the project is viable and shall contribute to the socio-economic development of the locality, in particular, and the State, in general.

Based on the guidelines given in the pre-appraisal in EIA Manual, the proposal has been considered under Category 'B' and recommended for detailed study on the expected environmental impact, and hence classified as 'Category B-1'. Accordingly, required TOR for the project was framed for EIA studies. While doing so, respective TOR provided by the firm was also taken into consideration. Members deliberated on the TOR provided by the

Handwritten signature/initials

Handwritten signature/initials

Handwritten signature/initials